



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,743	02/25/2004	John Douglas Methot	BEAS-01438US2	2998

74739 7590 09/26/2008  
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC  
Oracle International Corporation  
Attn: Patent Docketing 32nd Floor  
Post Office Box 7037  
Atlanta, GA 30357-0037

EXAMINER
----------

KEATON, SHERROD L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2175

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/26/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/786,743	<b>Applicant(s)</b> METHOT, JOHN DOUGLAS	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sherrod Keaton	<b>Art Unit</b> 2175	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sherrod Keaton. (3) Kathy Takeguchi (applicant's representative).

(2) William Bashore (SPE). (4) Barry Goldsmith (applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chan et al. (20030028364 A1), Cohen et al. (7024658).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed differences between Claim1 and cited prior art, also discussed further clarifying the claim language pertaining to the IDE extension.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sherrod Keaton/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175	/WILLIAM L. BASHORE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175
---	--